United States District Court

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	JAMIS YOUSEF	Case Number: 09-	-30450-1
	Defendant		
	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 1 n of the defendant pending trial in this	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been he case.	eld. I conclude that the following facts require the
		Part I—Findings of Fact	
(1)	or local offense that would have been ☐ a crime of violence as defined in ☐ an offense for which the maximu	ense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to for 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). In sentence is life imprisonment or death. In term of imprisonment of ten years or more is presented.	ederal jurisdiction had existed - that is
		r the defendant had been convicted of two or more	prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
		was committed while the defendant was on release has elapsed since the \(\square \) date of conviction \(\square \)	
(4)		sh a rebuttable presumption that no condition or concommunity. I further find that the defendant has n	
		Alternative Findings (A)	
(1)		at the defendant has committed an offense	
		nprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _	
(2)		esumption established by finding 1 that no condition quired and the safety of the community.	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
		Alternative Findings (B)	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defend	lant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defend	lant will endanger the safety of another person or the	ne community.
		rt II—Written Statement of Reasons for De	7
	nd that the credible testimony and infor of the evidence that	rmation submitted at the hearing establishes by	clear and convincing evidence a prepon-
kilogra		before the court on a criminal complaint which ts). If convicted he faces a 20 year statutory m	
to the ex reasonal Governi	xtent practicable, from persons awaiting ble opportunity for private consultation ment, the person in charge of the correct the person in charge of the person in charge of the correct the person in charge of th	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention of the Attorney General or his designated representating or serving sentences or being held in custody per with defense counsel. On order of a court of the citions facility shall deliver the defendant to the Universe	ive for confinement in a corrections facility separate, ending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a e United States or on request of an attorney for the
iii coiine	ection with a court proceeding.	a/Mana K Maizzoth	
	November 5, 2009 Date	s/ Mona K. Majzoub	ure of Judge
	Duic	MONA K MA IZOLIB - LINITED STAT	

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Defendant is a 31 year old unemployed resident of Etobioke, Ontario in Canada. He is a Canadian citizen born in Iraq who is single and lives with his mother and pays rent to her. He has two brothers and four sisters who reside in the district. His brother, Genen Yusuf, is offering to act as third party custodian if the defendant is released on bond. Unfortunately, it is this brother's two vehicles that were allegedly used in the delivery of the 39.9 kilograms of ecstasy by the defendant and his co-defendants.

Defendant has an outstanding 2007 criminal bench warrant for a traffic offense in Sterling Heights, Michigan, which he claims he never received notice of because he resides in Canada. Sterling Heights is willing to place the Defendant on a bond in this matter, according to the information available.

Defendant also has a sexual assault and family violence case pending in Canada as of May 16, 2009. He explains that it is his ex-girlfriend who brought the case against him as retribution for his "dumping" her.

As stated above, Defendant requests that he be allowed to reside with his brother, Genen Yusuf, in Sterling Heights on a bond with a tether. Genen Yusuf is married with two children ages 4 and 6 at home and he is employed full time as a dealer in a local casino. Defendant's brother's two vehicles are implicated in the delivery of the drugs involved, and that factor alone gives this court pause about the suitability of Mr. Genen Yusuf as a third party custodian.

Defendant's community ties are in Canada. Although he has relatives who reside in this district, he has no other ties here. He is a Canadian citizen. He is unemployed and he faces significant charges which carry significant penalties here. If he were to flee to Canada, it would take years to invoke the extradition process in an effort to bring him back to face charges. Defendant is heavily incented to flee to Canada, given the gravity of the charges and the severity of the potential penalties.

This is a presumption case. The case seems strong on its face and the penalties are severe. The presumption has not been rebutted by the fact that defendant has relatives who reside in this district whom he sees sporadically at best. Furthermore the presumption has not been rebutted by any other factors presented to the court.

Defendant is viewed as a danger to the community, based upon his pending sexual assault/family violence case in Canada, as well as the instant charges because of the volume of drugs involved and their street value. Defendant also poses a significant risk of flight due to his Canadian citizenship and his lack of community ties to this district (other than having some relatives who live here). A tether would not prevent flight to Canada.

Because Defendant is viewed as a risk of flight and a danger to the community, and because the proposed third party custodian's two vehicles were allegedly implicated at the scene of the drug delivery, there are no conditions of bond that would assure Defendant's appearance in court or the safety of the community. Therefore Detention is Ordered.